

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/604,754             | 08/14/2003      | . David P. Horton    | 49190-10                | 1753             |
| 23971                  | 7590 08/04/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| BENNETT JONES          |                 |                      | TUCKER, PHILIP C        |                  |
| C/O MS ROSI            | EANN CALDWELL   |                      |                         |                  |
| 4500 BANKERS HALL EAST |                 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| 855 - 2ND STREET, SW   |                 |                      | 1712                    |                  |
| CALGARY, A             | AB T2P 4K7      |                      |                         |                  |
| CANADA                 |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ,'   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|--|---|--|
| Office Assists Comments  | 10/604,754  | HORTON, DAVID P.   |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
| TI MANUNO DATE SALI  | Philip C. Tucker  | 1712   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply  | ppears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a riming the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ute, cause the application to become ABANDONI | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status   |   |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The string of | nis action is non-final.<br>vance except for formal matters, pr   |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and   | rawn from consideration.  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seection is required if the drawing(s) is of  | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie  | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in Applica<br>riority documents have been receive<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | tion No<br>ved in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/604,754

Art Unit: 1712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claims Interpretation

The intended use of the fluid as a drilling fluid, or the additive as an anti-accretion compound is not a distinguishing factor (In re Pearson 181 USPQ 641). Claims 2-9, do not positively cite that the specified compounds are present, and thus are rejectable over prior art which does not specifically teach these compounds.

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 12-17 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 12-17 should start with a capitalized letter. In claims 14-17, the term "based-based" is used in describing the drilling fluid. It appears that such should be "aqueous-based".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 teaches DTMPA, which is not defined in the specification or in the claim. It appears that DTPMPA, which was defined in the specification was meant to be claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,754 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

### **Double Patenting**

- 4. Claims 1-17 of this application conflict with claims 1-17 of Application No. 10/604733. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.
- 5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

6. Claims 1-17 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 10/604733. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/604,754

Art Unit: 1712

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1-4, 9 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Donham (3880764).

Donham teaches an aqueous drilling fluid which comprises BHMTPMPA in an amount within the scope of the present invention (see claims 1 and 3). The phosphonate may be used in neutralized form (see column 6, lines 32-39).

9. Claims 1-6, 10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e and a) as being anticipated by Brady (6569814).

Brady teaches an aqueous well treating fluid which comprises HEDP or ATMP (see claims 1 and 2).

10. Claims 1-4, 9, 10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fretier (2003/0150613).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,754

Art Unit: 1712

Fretier teaches a well treatment fluid which comprises BHMTPMPA within the scope of the present invention (see Table I and paragraph 0025).

11. Claims 1 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 99/42539.

WO '539 teaches a method of drilling a subterranean formation, using an antiaccretion additive which is a phosphonate, within the present concentration ranges (see pages 2-3).

12. Claims 1-5, 10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by House (4566976).

Houde teaches a brine which can be used as a drilling fluid (column 1, lines 19-28), which comprises ATMP, same as nitrilotri (methylenephosphonic acid), within the concentration of the present invention (see the example and table I).

13. Claims 1-7 and 10-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brothers (5340397).

Brothers teaches a well treating fluid which comprises water and a phosphonate such as EDTMPA, ATMP, HEDP or DTPMPA in a concentration within the scope of the present invention (see column 10, lines 34-68).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,754

Art Unit: 1712

14. Claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 10-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schapira (6080700).

Schapira teaches a fluid which comprises water and a phosphonate such as EDTMPA, ATMP or DTPMPA in a concentration within the scope of the present invention (see claim 5 nad column 7, lines 53-67). Neutralized salts may also be used (column 8, lines 44-61).

15. Claims 1-4 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marin (2004/0238451).

Marin teaches an aqueous composition which comprises a magnesium neutralized BHMTPMPA at a level of 1%, and within the pH of claim 11 (see paragraphs 0006 and 0007).

16. Claims 1 and 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hwa (4066398).

Hwa teaches water based fluids which comprise the phosphate ester of diethanolamine or triethanolamine, within the present concentration range (see column 2, lines 4-31). The phosphate ester may be neutralized (see column 3, lines 24-33).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/604,754

Art Unit: 1712

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

18. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hwa (4066398).

Hwa teaches water based fluids which comprise the phosphate ester of ethanolamines, within the present concentration range (see column 2, lines 4-31), which are used as corrosion inhibitors. The phosphate ester may be neutralized (see column 3, lines 24-33). Hwa differs from the present invention in that the specific use of monoethanolamine is not disclosed. Hwa teaches that in general alkanolamines may be used to form the phosphate esters, even those with a single hydroxyl group (see column 3, lines 3-5, and column 2, line 32). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize monoethanolamine to form the phosphate esters of Hwa, in view of the teaching of Hwa that alkanolamines may be used to form the phosphate esters, even those with a single hydroxyl group, and further in view of the utility of the homologues diethanolamine and triethanolamine to form the phosphate esters.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C. Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/604,754 Page 8

Art Unit: 1712

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-3809